

18 June 1952

To: SR/WDC

From: SR/W 2

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIBENCE AGENCY SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3028 NAZIWAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACTUATE 2007

Subject: Contact with CASSOWARY 15 on Saturday, 14 June 1952.

## 1. Financial Arrangements for CASSOWARY 2

A. CASSOWARY 2 is willing to leave his job at the steel mill and work for us on the following conditions: He refuses to be employed on the individual basis, e.g., he wishes to be considered a part of the CASSOWARY 1 complex. This would mean that he is willing to be paid by us providing that he feels that we are paying CASSOWARY 1 and not him.

B. Any expenses incurred by CASSOWARY 2 will be accounted for to the proper person within CASSOWARY 1 but not to us. If it is so desired, we can get, in all probability, a financial statement from the responsible individual within CASSOWARY 1.

C. The undersigned, although not committing the agency, said he would try to arrange it in such a manner that "the subsidy of CASSOWARY 1 will be raised", and that that "raise in subsidy" will be handed over to CASSOWARY 2, either directly or through CASSOWARY 15. In line with this, it is recommended that CASSOWARY 2 be given a basic salary of \$10,000 a year which would cover not only his salary but also all of his expenses. It should be noted that this figure will be accounted for by CASSOWARY 2 to the treasurer of CASSOWARY 1.

2. CASSOWARY 15 was very happy about the political meeting of ll June. He mentioned, however, that CASSOWARY 2 had been completely unprepared for this meeting; had he thought about it he would not have talked to "Mr. Brown" because he prefers to know exactly with whom he is dealing. To this the undersigned answered that Mr. Brown was a very high-levelled individual who had contact with the Department of State. When CASSOWARY 15 asked whether Mr. Brown is an employee of the Department of State the undersigned admitted that he did not know whether this official is a member of State in liaison with us or whether he was a member of our organization in liaison with State.



## Security Information

- 3. CASSOWARY 15 trusts that the present political approach was the beginning of a new era and that it was not caused primarily by the necessity of changing the cable and letting the entire matter rest, as had been the case in the past. He also hoped that we would live up to the commitments which were made, namely that the U. S. policy is still truly self-determination of the nationalities within the Soviet Union.
- 4. Both CASSOWARY 15 and CASSOWARY 2 were rather curious to find out how we were able to achieve free movement for the latter. Since the question was baldly put, the undersigned was placed in such a position that he either had to answer frankly or else leave our position open to question. Therefore, the following explanation was given: We realized that the charges against CASSOWARY 2 were a serious matter over which we had no jurisdiction since this was within the bailiwick of the Immigration Service. If we permitted CASSOWARY 2 to take this case to an Immigration Court, his activities and connections would have to be discussed before people who were not cleared securitywise. For that reason it was essential for us to settle this matter out of Immigration Courts. In order to accomplish this, it was necessary that a justification - an objective presentation of the facts surrounding the PIERACKI affair - be written up. This was studied by a Board of individuals who queried the undersigned on any questionable point. Being satisfied that there was "reasonable doubt" as to CASSOWARY 2's participation in that affair, they recommended to the "General" that he take the case into his own hands and request that Immigration give carte blanche to CASSOWARY 2. It was further pointed out that the Immigration Service is obliged to investigate any allegations against any individual who got into the country ostensibly through fraud. If, however, this Service had the assurance of "the General" that the allegations were either incorrect, or else, to a large degree, groundless, then they were free of the responsibility and the consequences of that responsibility.
- CASSOWARY 15 was more than curious to find out what the relations are between our organization and OUN/MELNYK. There had been an agreement that we would not cooperate with any political group without discussing the matter with responsible representatives of CASSOWARY 1. The undersigned assured him that we are not cooperating with any political group outside of CASSOWARY 1 and that we had no intentions of cooperating with any political group at the moment. However, should it prove necessary in long range planning to initiate political contact with other groups, this matter would be discussed with CASSOWARY 1. The undersigned further stressed that the U. S., although it clandestinely cooperates with CASSOWARY 1 on a political basis, reserves the right of independent recruitment and that this is a matter that CASSOWARY 2 should realize. To this, CASSOWARY 15 agreed without any reservations since his and his organization's greatest fear is not our operational cooperation with any or all Ukrainian organizations but political commitments to these organizations.

Security Information

BMM son fre